STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission

DOCKET	
07-AFC-6	
DATE	Oct.19 2011
RECD.	Oct.19 2011

In the Matter of:

The Application for Certification for the CARLSBAD ENERGY CENTER PROJECT

Docket No. 07-AFC-6

CARLSBAD ENERGY CENTER LLC'S RESPONSE TO THE CITY OF CARLSBAD AND CARLSBAD REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY'S REQUEST TO TAKE OFFICIAL NOTICE

October 19, 2011

John A. McKinsey, Esq. Melissa A. Foster, Esq. Stoel Rives LLP 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600 Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 447-0700 Facsimile: (916) 447-4781

Attorneys for CARLSBAD ENERGY CENTER LLC

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission

In the Matter of:

The Application for Certification for the CARLSBAD ENERGY CENTER PROJECT

Docket No. 07-AFC-6

CARLSBAD ENERGY CENTER LLC'S RESPONSE TO THE CITY OF CARLSBAD AND CARLSBAD REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY'S REQUEST TO TAKE OFFICIAL NOTICE

I. INTRODUCTION

On October 17, 2011, the City of Carlsbad and the Carlsbad Redevelopment Agency (collectively, the "City") filed a Request for Official Notice of Their Official Acts Regarding Land Use Conformance ("City's Request"). Applicant Carlsbad Energy Center LLC ("Applicant") responds herein to the City's Request.

In the Request, the City seeks official notice of the City's "official acts," specifically, Resolution 2011-230, adopted on September 27, 2011, and Ordinance CS-158, adopted on October 11, 2011. By these two "official acts," the City yet again attempts to circumvent the Warren-Alquist Act and thwart the jurisdiction of the Commission over the proposed Carlsbad Energy Center Project ("CECP").

The City's Request relates to "official acts" that are not LORS, are inapplicable to the CECP, are not relevant to the CECP Application for Certification ("AFC") proceeding, and need not be considered for purposes of issuing a Final Decision. For these reasons, the Committee should deny the City's Request.

II. ARGUMENT

Commission regulations on power plant site certification provide that "[a]ny relevant evidence shall be admitted if it is the sort of evidence on which responsible persons are accustomed to rely on in the conduct of serious affairs." (20 Cal. Code Regs., § 1212(a).) Each party to a siting proceeding has the right to submit testimony and other evidence, subject to the exercise of the lawful discretion of the Presiding Committee Member. (20 Cal. Code Regs., § 1712(b).) The Committee may take official notice of "any generally accepted matter within the commission's field of competence, and of any fact which may be judicially noticed by the courts of this state." (20 Cal. Code Regs., § 1213.¹)

At this late stage of the CECP siting process, the Committee need entertain only the most critical evidence. The items submitted for inclusion in the record in the City's Request do not constitute evidence that is relevant to the CECP proceeding, nor are the "official acts" documents that the Committee should take official notice of. Here, the City seeks official notice of two "official acts" taken by the City exclusively "in response to what the City and the Redevelopment Agency consider is an incorrect interpretation of the [Presiding Member's Proposed Decision ("PMPD")] and in an effort to make its land use regulations more understandable." (City's Request at 2.) What the City fails to mention, however, is these "official acts" are yet another attempt by the City to prevent the CECP from being built within the City's Coastal Zone.

A. The "Official Acts" Are Not LORS

The Warren-Alquist Act provides the Commission with exclusive jurisdiction regarding

¹ The City does not refer to section 1213 of Title 20, California Code of Regulations in its Request, nor does it explain how the "official acts" fall within the purview of section 1213. The City has thus failed to meet even the minimum requirements necessary for official notice by the Commission.

the siting, design and permitting of electric generating facilities. (Pub. Res. Code §§ 25000 *et seq.*) The Carlsbad City Council adopted Resolution 2011-230 and Ordinance CS-158 in another of its multiple attempts to defeat the CECP and circumvent the Commission's authority to certify the CECP.

Resolution 2011-230 approves and adopts various recommendations of the City of Carlsbad Planning Commission. (Resolution 2011-230 at 1-2.) For example, the Resolution adopts and approves General Plan Amendment ("GPA") 11-06 and incorporates by reference the findings in Planning Commission Resolution No. 6803, yet the City failed to include these specific items in its Request. Moreover, the Resolution adopts and approves Local Coastal Program Amendment ("LCPA") 11-06 and incorporates by reference the findings in Planning Commission Resolution No. 6805 regarding the same, but expressly notes that "approval of LCPA 11-06 shall not become effective until it is approved by the California Coastal Commission and the California Coastal Commission's approval becomes effective." (Resolution 2011-230 at 2 (emphasis added).) Without inclusion of the GPA information in the filing, and the fact that the LCPA will not go into effect unless and until the Coastal Commission approves it, it is not a LORS.

In the same vein, by the express language of the Ordinance, it does not go into effect until thirty (30) days from the date of its adoption. (Ordinance CS-158.) Further, the Ordinance expressly states that it "shall not be effective until approved by the California Coastal Commission." (*Id.*) Thus, the Ordinance is not a LORS and has no affect on the CECP AFC Proceeding.

B. The City's "Official Acts" Are Not Relevant to the CECP AFC Proceeding

As noted above, the Commission has exclusive jurisdiction regarding the siting, design

and permitting of electric generating facilities. Nevertheless, if the Committee determines the

"official acts" submitted by the City should be considered, before taking official notice of the

"official acts" the Committee must evaluate the substantive nature of the "official acts" and base

its decision on whether the information contained therein is even relevant to the CECP AFC

proceeding. If the Committee determines the "evidence" to be irrelevant to the CECP AFC

proceeding, the Committee should deny the City's Request. The City's "official acts" do not, as

the City claims, present a clarification of the City's land use regulations, but are instead an

attempted retroactive prohibition of the CECP at its proposed site. The biased "official acts"

lend nothing to the Committee's analysis of the CECP AFC and the CECP's compliance with

applicable LORS.

III. CONCLUSION

The City's Request is untimely, lacks good cause, is irrelevant to the CECP AFC

proceeding, and does not involve new LORS. Accordingly, the City's Request should be

DENIED.

Date: October 19, 2011

Stoel Rives LLP

Attorneys for Applicant

CARLSBAD ENERGY CENTER LLC

4

THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 -- WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION
FOR THE CARLSBAD ENERGY
CENTER PROJECT

Docket No. 07-AFC-6 PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 9/19/2011)

CARLSBAD ENERGY CENTER LLC'S Response to City of Carlsbad and Carlsbad Redevelopment Agency's Request to Take Official Notice

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Attn: Docket No. 07-AFC-6 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

APPLICANT

Jennifer Hein George Piantka, P.E. NRG Energy, West 5790 Fleet Street, Suite 200 Carlsbad, CA 92008 jennifer.hein@nrgenergy.com george.piantka@nrgenergy.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS

Robert Mason, Project Manager CH2M Hill, Inc. 6 Hutton Centre Drive, Ste. 700 Santa Ana, CA 92707 Robert.Mason@ch2m.com

Megan Sebra CH2M Hill, Inc. 2485 Natomas Park Drive, Ste. 600 Sacramento, CA 95833 Megan.Sebra@ch2m.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

John A. McKinsey Stoel Rives LLP 500 Capitol Mall, Ste. 1600 Sacramento, CA 95814 jamckinsey@stoel.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO (e-mail preferred) e-recipient@caiso.com

INTERVENORS

Terramar Association
Kerry Siekmann & Catherine Miller
5239 El Arbol
Carlsbad, CA 92008
*email service preferred
siekmann1@att.net

City of Carlsbad
South Carlsbad Coastal Redevelopment Agency
Allan J. Thompson
Attorney for City
21 "C" Orinda Way #314
Orinda, CA 94563
*email service preferred
allanori@comcast.net

City of Carlsbad
South Carlsbad Coastal Redevelopment Agency
Joseph Garuba, Municipals Project Manager
Ronald R. Ball, Esq., City Attorney
1200 Carlsbad Village Drive
Carlsbad, CA 92008
*email service preferred
Joe.Garuba@carlsbadca.gov;
*email service preferred
ron.ball@carlsbad.ca.gov

California Unions for Reliable Energy ("CURE")
Gloria D. Smith & Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
gsmith@adamsbroadwell.com
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com

Center for Biological Diversity c/o William B. Rostove EARTHJUSTICE 426 17th St., 5th Floor Oakland, CA 94612 *email service preferred wrostov@earthjustice.org

Power of Vision
Julie Baker and Arnold Roe, Ph.D.
4213 Sunnyhill Drive
Carlsbad, CA 92013
*email service preferred
powerofvision@roadrunner.com

Rob Simpson
Environmental Consultant
27126 Grandview Avenue
Hayward, CA 94542
*email service preferred
rob@redwoodrob.com

April Rose Sommer Attorney for Rob Simpson P.O. Box 6937 Moraga, CA 94570 *email service preferred aprilsommerlaw@yahoo.com

ENERGY COMMISSION-DECISIONMAKERS

JAMES D. BOYD
Vice Chair and Presiding Member
jboyd@energy.state.ca.us

Karen Douglas
Commissioner and Associate Member
kldougla@energy.state.ca.us

Galen Lemei
Advisor to Commissioner Douglas
e-mail service preferred
glemei@energy.state.ca.us

Tim Olson Adviser to Vice Chair Boyd tolson@energy.state.ca.us

Paul Kramer Hearing Office pkramer@energy.state.ca.us

ENERGY COMMISSION STAFF

Mike Monasmith
Siting Project Manager
mmonasmi@energy.state.ca.us

Dick Ratliff
Staff Counsel
dratliff@energy.state.ca.us

ENERGY COMMISSION PUBLIC ADVISOR

Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser's Office
*email service preferred
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Judith M. Warmuth, declare that on October 19, 2011, I deposited copies of the aforementioned document in the United States mail at 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600, Sacramento, California 95814, with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

AND/OR

Transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of California Code of Regulations, Title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5, and 1210. All electronic copies were sent to all those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Judith M. Warmuth